

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,421	09/30/2003	Fang-Hao Kuo	11096-US-PA	2420	
31561	1561 7590 10/27/2006		EXAMINER		
JIANQ CHYUN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE 7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			LIANG, I	LIANG, REGINA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2629		
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 10/27/2006	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/605,421	KUO, FANG-HAO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Regina Liang	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 September 2006</u> .					
	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the I	ccepted or b) objected to by the late drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen		_				
2) Notice 3) Information	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/605,421 Page 2

Art Unit: 2629

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is responsive to reply filed on 9/25/06. Claims 1-5 are pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (Fig. 1, sections [0005]-[0007]) in view of Huang (US 2003/0177345).

As to claim 1, Fig. 1 admitted as prior art discloses processing a KBC having a BIOS flash utility (102), a KBC flash utility (104), and a BIOS and KCB data (106).

The admitted prior art does not update the KBC during a power-on self-test (POST) of the system. However, Fig. 3 of Huang teaches a method for updating the KBC during the power-on period. It has a method of checking to see if the KBC is updated (s304) and a method of updating the KBC when it is needed (s316). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the KBC process of the admitted prior art to include the KCB updating process as taught by Huang so as to provide KCB updating in the BIOS in order to eliminate one system rebooting operations and to provide a more convenient method to cut down the time for re-writing the flash memory ([0010] of Huang).

As to claim 2, Fig. 1 of the admitted prior art shows the building of a BIOS (102), BIOS data and flash utility (106), KBC data and flash utility (106), and Huang teaches combing the KBC into the BIOS [0021].

As to claim 3, Fig. 1 of the admitted prior art shows flashing a BIOS ROM in the system by using the BIOS flash utility (114).

As to claim 4, Fig. 3 of Huang shows the checking of the KBC update (s304) and the updating of the KBC if needed (s318).

As to claim 5, Huang suggests a method of writing the updates to a memory location and then moving that data in order to update the KBC (paragraph 5, lines 7-13 of Huang). Therefore, in view of Huang's suggestion, it would be obvious to modify the system of admitted prior art, as modified by Huang, to have the process to shadow the KBC data into a memory address and flashing the memory address into the system during the updating processing.

## Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 9/25/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's argument regarding "Huang discusses nothing about "updating the KBC data" that is required by claim 1", is not persuasive. Huang teaches "the keyboard controller 202 can write the data obtained from the computer 216 into the ROM 208. Similarly, firmware for the keyboard controller can also be written into the BIOS 210 belonged to the keyboard controller 202. Upon such an arrangement, the system's BIOS or the firmware of the keyboard controller can be updated" (lines 23-28 in [0021]), and "the system's BIOS or the

Application/Control Number: 10/605,421 Page 4

Art Unit: 2629

firmware of the keyboard controller can be updated" (lines 45-47 in [0021]), the firmware of the keyboard controller in Huang is the claimed keyboard controller data. Therefore, Huang teaches updating the KBC data as required by claim 1.

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, section [0010] of Huang teaches updating the keyboard controller to provide a more convenient method to cut down the time for re-writing the flash memory.

Applicant remarks regarding claim 2 on pages 4-5 are not persuasive. Huang teaches "the keyboard controller 202 can write the data obtained from the computer 216 into the ROM 208. Similarly, firmware for the keyboard controller can also be written into the BIOS 210 belonged to the keyboard controller 202. Upon such an arrangement, the system's BIOS or the firmware of the keyboard controller can be updated" (lines 23-28 in [0021]), which reads on combining the KBC into the BIOS as claimed.

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,421

Art Unit: 2629

Page 5

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Regina Liang whose telephone number is (571) 272-7693. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on (571) 272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Regina Liang Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674